

GSA Virtual EVSE Showcase

August 29 & 30, 2023

Cybersecurity for EVSE

Stephanie Gresalfi (GSA Fleet) Brian Turnau (GSA CISO) Emmitt Durkaj (GSA CISO) Tony Markel (NREL)

Federal Zero-Emission Vehicle Orders



2

Results: FY23 Q3 EVSE Deployment Report



Benefits of Cybersecurity

Prevents against Potential Threats



Allows Users to Confidently Use Technology



GSA's Approach to Cybersecurity



Included IT Security Requirements in Winter 2022 EVSE Solicitation

7.4 Security, Privacy, and Supply Chain Security Requirements

Commercial Electric Vehicle (EV) Service platform providers are required to meet the appropriate Security and Privacy requirements identified in section 7.4.1 and Supply Chain Requirements in section 7.4.2 within six months of BPA award. No task orders can be issued under the BPA until the BPA Holder meets these requirements.

Offerors reselling commercial EV service platform solutions are presumed to provide EV platform provider solutions 'as-is' without additional value-added reseller systems (e.g., provisioning, billing, metering, etc.). See the ensuing sections for Security, Privacy, and Supply Chain Security Requirements.

All costs associated with meeting the Security, Privacy, and Supply Chain Security Requirements are the sole responsibility of the BPA Holder.



7.4.1 IT Security and Privacy Requirements

BPA Holders must obtain approval from GSA for the EVSE Deployment Option A or B for each distinct platform its products operate on using "NIST 171 v FedRamp Qualifying Template" (Appendix C). Products covered under Option A and B include but are not limited to network-connected charging stations or those that have the ability to connect to a network, products that store system or transactional data and network data plans.

The BPA Holder and the Government will mutually agree on a deployment option. Depending on the deployment option agreed upon, different security evaluation requirements will apply as outlined below. The final determination will be made by the Government.



A FEDRAMP OVERVIEW: Introduction

Hardware vs Cloud?

Other considerations?

Criteria for Path Determination PII Information solely **Telematics** tied to an individual Verify that no information specific PCI to a vehicle location Information relating to can be readily tied payments such as bank back to a named routing or account numbers individual through or credit card information to the IT solution. include the Primary Account Number (PAN), cardholder

9

name, expiration date, and

service code

Timeline

Overall En KickOff	gagement	Path Determination Analyzed vendor Qualify Templates, FIPS 199 Categorizations, and Dat Dictionaries	ing ta	Start FedRAMP work with committed firms • Provided Tailored / Moderate path determinations; • began architecture and	9	In Process Designations • In process designations for 3 firms • ATOs for 2 firms anticipated by Oct.
•	May-July 2022	Pictionalics	October 2022	critical controls review	Jan. 2023 - present	2023
April- May. 2022	Individual Vendor	Aug-Sept. 2022 KickOffs	Path Decision All IT solution vendors would fo a FedRAMP path	Nov-Dec. 2022 Dillow	Ongoing Path Determ FedRAMP work • Path determinations added and unproduce vendors	nination & s for recently ctive
GSA FedRAMP : engagement th ⊙ Expec	authorization is a well st nat follows FedRAMP PMC ted timeline from FedRA Moderate: 12 - 18 mon Tailored: 6 - 9 months	ructured and interactive O guidelines MP Kick-Off : nths			 Architecture and co of productive vendo Working with EVSE collect vendor scher formalize FedRAMP engagement. 	ntrol review nrs. program to dules and 10

FedRAMP - General Process & Overview

Legend: Grey Text: GSA Responsibility Blue Text: Vendor/Assessor Responsibility

Phase 1: Prepare (1 - 2 Month)	Phase 2: Document (3-5 Months)	Phase 3: Assess (1 Month)	Phase 4: Authorize (1 Month)	Step 5: Monitor
Vendor Engagement Kickoff	SSP with Critical and Showstopper Security Requirements & PTA	Assessor prepares SAP, GSA IS Approves SAP	GSA IS CISO Brief and Concurrence	Monthly FedRAMP Delveriables
Path Determination (FedRAMP 800-145 vs. NIST	GSA IS Architecture review and CISO approval	3PAO Assessment Security Assessment	GSA Authority to Operate (ATO) Issued	Annual FedRAMP
Data Categorization (FIPS	Vendor completes all required documentation	Report (SAR) Report & POA&M	FedRAMP PMO reviews completed package	Deliverables including re-assessment activities
199, QT, DD) Critical Capabilities	PIA (if applicable)	GSA IS Assessment Review	PMO approves package and lists as "Authorized"	Security Change Request
Review GSA Sponsorship Approval	GSA IS/Privacy Package Review and approval		on the Marketplace	(SCR) with GSA

EVSE IT Security FedRAMP Progress as of 8/21/23



FedRAMP Estimated Completion Dates Based on Firm Submitted Timelines (subject to change)





Interested in Learning about **ATOs**

- → GSA will share all ATOs via email with all GSA Fleet customers and stakeholders
- \rightarrow Leave your email in the chat to be added to our EVSE Gov Delivery Box for future updates
- → Attend Federal EV Agency Roundtable Meetings
- → Attend Relevant GSA Trainings gsa.gov/gsa-fleet-training
- → FedFleet 2024 January 22-25, 2024
- → DOE Energy Exchange March 26-28 2024 Pittsburgh, PA

Common questions?

How to Authenticate a Charging Station?

Common Questions

How to Authenticate a Charging Station?



How do we continue to mitigate risks?

Onboarding

 $(\bigcirc$

Monitoring

Identifying

4

Data Collecting

Evaluatiing

Reporting

Offboarding

The continuous monitoring process is a repeatable process that continuously identifies, evaluates, informs, monitors, mitigates, and remediates cyber supply chain and third-party risk exposure for GSA.

₩**₹**₩

Risk Areas

#3

Reputational
Industry
Geographical
Operational
Transaction
Credit
Third-Party
Cyber

✓ FOCI✓ Compliance✓ Strategic

Vendor Risk Assessment Tool BitSight ●Exiger Govini Bloomberg 4

+Ð





EVSE Cybersecurity and Resilience

Tony Markel, tony.markel@nrel.gov Senior Researcher, Partnership Development

8/30/2023 GSA EVSE Cyber, Panel

AREA OF

EVSE Cybersecurity

- In 2019 the FEMP Fleet Team at NREL published a report on Vehicle Cybersecurity Threats and Mitigation Approaches
 - Outlines Threat Vectors
 - Modern Vehicles
 - Connected and Automated Vehicles (CAV)
 - Telematics
 - EVSE
 - Risk Mitigation Techniques
 - Procurement Language



Vehicle Cybersecurity Threats and Mitigation Approaches

Cabell Hodge, Konrad Hauck, Shivam Gupta, and Jesse Bennett

National Renewable Energy Laboratory

NREL is a national laboratory of the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy Operated by the Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC Technical Report NREL/TP-5400-74247 August 2019

This report is available at no cost from the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) at www.nrel.gov/publications.

Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308

EVSE Cybersecurity Risks

- Physical Access
 - An attacker with direct access to EVSE ports could directly upload malicious code resulting in malfunctioning EVSE or the release of PII.
 - Malfunctioning EVSE could impact power equipment.
- Remote Access
 - Access to information flow between EVSE and remote management service for wireless firmware updates, EVSE management, or transaction processing.
 - An attacker could acquire valuable user data or manipulate firmware updates to create EVSE malfunctions.



EVSE Cybersecurity Cybersecurity Risk Mitigation

- Physical Access
 - EVSE should be constructed without external control board physical access.
 - All communication and management of the EVSE should include high-level encryption.
- Remote Access
 - Firmware updates should be encrypted.
 - Federal cloud servers must meet FedRAMP standards.
 - All remote access to EVSE through a web server should use secure communication.



Resources for Further Study

- Government Fleet and Public Sector Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment (EVSE) Cybersecurity Best Practices and Procurement Language Report (Volpe, 2019) -<u>https://rosap.ntl.bts.gov/view/dot/43606/dot_43606_DS1.pdf</u>
- Vehicle Cybersecurity Threats and Mitigation Approaches (NREL, 2019) <u>https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy19osti/74247.pdf</u>
- DOE labs conducting research
 - Recommended EVSE cybersecurity practices (SNL, 2021) (<u>https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.11141.37602</u>)
 - Survey of EVSE vulnerabilities (SNL, 2022) (<u>https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1073/15/11/3931</u>)
- Joint Office of Energy and Transportation (DOT/DOE)
 - National Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Formula Program (DOT, 2022) (<u>https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2022-06-22/pdf/2022-12704.pdf</u>)
- Industry activities
 - SAE PKI Task Force <u>https://www.sae.org/news/press-room/2022/04/sae-international-performs-first-test-of-ev-charging-pki-design</u>
 - SAE/ISO Vehicle Cybersecurity Engineering https://www.sae.org/standards/content/iso/sae21434/
 - Auto-ISAC Community Calls <u>https://automotiveisac.com/community-calls</u>
 - Open Charge Alliance Improved security for OCPP 1.6-J edition 3 FINAL, 2022-02-17 https://www.openchargealliance.org/about-us/info-en-whitepapers/

Components and Interfaces



<u>Components</u> EVSE Vehicle Charge Network Operations Center

Stakeholders

Charge Network Owner/Operator EVSE Manufacturer User/Driver Vehicle Manufacturer Fleet Operator <u>Interfaces</u>

User to EVSE

User to Charge Network Operations Vehicle to EVSE

EVSE to Charge Network Operations Fleet Operator to EVSE/Charge Network Operations Interoperable PKI for secure ISO 15118-2 communications confirmed!

~20 different key structure scenarios were tested over a 3-day period with 2 vehicles and 2 chargers in ESIF EVRI.



EVSE Security And Resilience Strategies

1. Understand what you have

2. Insert and wrap security solutions into the environment

3. Learn and architect a better system

4. Develop tools and insights to monitor and respond